\sim	α.	7
	•	,

	File With
i	
1	1
	1
į.	

SECTION 131 FORM

ABP— 3/4485-22	Defer Re O/H		
Having considered the contents of the submission dated/received /2/12/2023 from Bernie O'Reilly I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000 be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s):			
Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage.			
Section 131 to be invoked — allow 2/4 weeks for reply.			
Signed	Date		
EQ EQ	19/12/2023		
Signed	_		
Signed	Date		
SEO/SAO			
M			
Please prepare BP — Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission.			
	enclosing a copy of the attached submission.		
To Task No	Allow 2/3/4 weeks		
	BP		
Signed	Date		
EO			
Signed	Date		
AA			



Planning Appeal Online Observation

Online Reference NPA-OBS-002896

Online Observation Details Case Number / Description Lodgement Date Contact Name 314485 12/12/2023 16:55:10 Bernie O Reilly **Payment Details Payment Amount Cardholder Name Payment Method** €50.00 Bernie O Reilly Online Payment **Processing Section** S.131 Consideration Required N/A — Invalid Yes — See attached 131 Form Date Signed 19/12/2023 **Fee Refund Requisition** Lodgement No Please Arrange a Refund of Fee of LDG-€ Reason for Refund Request Emailed to Senior Executive Officer for Approval **Documents Returned to Observer** No Yes No Yes Date Signed EO **Finance Section Checked Against Fee Income Online Payment Reference** ch 3OMZEgB1CW0EN5FC1iM2zJee EO/AA (Accounts Section) **Refund Date** Amount € Authorised By (2) Authorised By (1) Chief Officer/Director of Corporate Affairs/SAO/Board SEO (Finance) Member Date Date

I am one of over 30,000 people who are now living under an illegal flightpath since the opening of the North Runway. The 2007 planning condition documentation includes flightpath assumptions which many people have built their lives around. The flightpaths in the 2007 planning permission are much different to the ones in use today and since it opened.

The noise from the current flightpaths is intolerable. These must be changed back to what was proposed in 2007. No further changes can be considered until this crucial issue is addresses first. There is a major health risk to tens of thousands of people due to excessive aircraft noise.

An oral hearing is absolutely necessary given the gravity of the situation.

Bernie O Reilly Bellwood Manor Baltrasna, Ashbourne, Co. Meath.